

Indianapolis/Ibadan Dementia Project
Sampling Scheme for Clinicals Throughout All Waves

-----1992-----

GROUP: (absolute score)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
CASE	$-1 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 28.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.184$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.184$
BORDERLINE	$28.5 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 29.5$	$0.12 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.184$	$0.12 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.184$
NORMAL	$\text{COGSCORE} > 29.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.12$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.12$

SAMPLE RULE:

(1) If GROUP=CASE then sample 100%

of the remaining...

(2) If GROUP=BORDERLINE then sample 50%

(3) For the remainder GROUP=NORMAL sample 5% weighted by age: 75% ≥ 75 years old, 25% < 75 years old

GROUP: (absolute score from 1994 screening/same as in 1992)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
CASE	$-1 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 28.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.184$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.184$
BORDERLINE	$28.5 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 29.5$	$0.12 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.184$	$0.12 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.184$
NORMAL	$\text{COGSCORE} > 29.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.12$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.12$

GROUPCH: (change score // 1994- 1992)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} \leq -2$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.12$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.12$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-2 < \text{DIFFCOG} \leq -1$	$0.06 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.12$	$0.06 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.12$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} > -1$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.06$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.06$

SAMPLE RULE:

(1) If **GROUP**=CASE or **GROUPCH**=POOR CHANGE then sample 100%

PERFORM
'poor'

of the remaining...

(2) If **GROUP**=BORDER or **GROUPCH**=INTERMED CH then sample 50%

'intermed'

(3) For the remainder sample 5%
(**GROUP**=NORMAL and **GROUPCH**=GOOD CHANGE)
weighted by age: 75% ≥ 75 years old, 25% < 75 years old

'good'

Definitions (INCID.SD2 and YINCID.SD2)

COGSCORE: cognitive score for 1994 Screening

RELScore: relative score for 1994 Screening

DFSCORE: discriminate function score for 1994 Screening

DIFFCOG: 1994 cogscore – 1992 cogscore

DIFFREL: 1994 relscore – 1992 relscore

DIFFDF: 1994 dfscore – 1992 dfscore

PERFORM: 1994 Performance group – 'poor', 'intermed', 'good'

GROUP: (absolute score from 1997 screening/same as in 1992)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
CASE	$-1 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 28.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.184$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.184$
BORDERLINE	$28.5 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 29.5$	$0.12 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.184$	$0.12 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.184$
NORMAL	$\text{COGSCORE} > 29.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.12$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.12$

GROUPCH: (change score // 1997 - 1992)

	no informant	Indianapolis informant	Ibadan informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.16277$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOG} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.2084$	$0.1269 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.16277$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.1269$

GROUPCHI: (change score // 1997 – 1994)

	no informant	Indianapolis informant	Ibadan informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOGI} \leq -3.8768$	$\text{DIFFDFI} \geq 0.2284$	$\text{DIFFDFI} \geq 0.19387$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.8768 < \text{DIFFCOGI} \leq -2.75$	$0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDFI} < 0.2284$	$0.1514 \leq \text{DIFFDFI} < 0.19387$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOGI} > -2.75$	$\text{DIFFDFI} < 0.1634$	$\text{DIFFDFI} < 0.1514$

SAMPLE RULE:

- | | |
|---|-------------------|
| (1) If GROUP=CASE or GROUPCH=POOR CHANGE or GROUPCHI=POOR CHANGE then sample 100% | PERFORM
'poor' |
| of the remaining... | |
| (2) If GROUP=BORDER or GROUPCH=INTERMED CH or GROUPCHI=INTERMED CH then sample 50% | 'intermed' |
| (3) For the remainder sample 5% | 'good' |
| (GROUP=NORMAL and GROUPCH=GOOD CHANGE and GROUPCHI=GOOD CHANGE)
weighted by age: 75% ≥ 75 years old, 25% < 75 years old | |

Definitions (INCID2.SD2 and YINCID2.SD2)

COGSCORE: cognitive score for 1997 Screening

RELSCORE: relative score for 1997 Screening

DFSCORE: discriminate function score for 1997 Screening

DIFFCOG: 1997 cogscore – 1992 cogscore

DIFFREL: 1997 relscore – 1992 relscore

DIFFDF: 1997 dfscore – 1992 dfscore

DIFFCOGI: 1997 cogscore – 1994 cogscore

DIFFRELI: 1997 relscore – 1994 relscore

DIFFDFI: 1997 dfscore – 1994 dfscore

PERFORM: 1997 Performance group – ‘poor’, ‘intermed’, ‘good’

GROUP: (absolute score from 2001 Screening)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
CASE	$-1 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 28.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.184$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.22$
BORDERLINE	$28.5 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 29.5$	$0.12 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.184$	$0.17 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.22$
NORMAL	$\text{COGSCORE} > 29.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.12$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.17$

GROUPCH: (change score // 2001 - 1992)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.2084$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOG} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.2084$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.2084$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.1349$

GROUPCHI: (change score // 2001 - 1994)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOGI} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDFI} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDFI} \geq 0.2107$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOGI} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDFI} < 0.2084$	$0.1621 \leq \text{DIFFDFI} < 0.2107$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOGI} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDFI} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDFI} < 0.1621$

GROUPCH2: (change score // 2001 - 1997)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG2} \leq -3.8768$	$\text{DIFFDF2} \geq 0.2284$	$\text{DIFFDF2} \geq 0.2284$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.8768 < \text{DIFFCOG2} \leq -2.75$	$0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF2} < 0.2284$	$0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF2} < 0.2284$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG2} > -2.75$	$\text{DIFFDF2} < 0.1634$	$\text{DIFFDF2} < 0.1634$

SAMPLE RULE:

- | | |
|--|---------------------------|
| <p>(1) If $\text{GROUP}=\text{CASE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH}=\text{POOR CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCHI}=\text{POOR CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH2}=\text{POOR CHANGE}$ then sample 100%</p> | <p>PERFORM
'poor'</p> |
| <p>of the remaining...</p> | |
| <p>(2) If $\text{GROUP}=\text{BORDER}$ or $\text{GROUPCH}=\text{INTERMED CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCHI}=\text{INTERMED CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH2}=\text{INTERMED CHANGE}$ then sample 75%</p> | <p>'intermed'</p> |
| <p>(3) For the remainder sample 2%
($\text{GROUP}=\text{NORMAL}$ and $\text{GROUPCH}=\text{GOOD CHANGE}$ and $\text{GROUPCHI}=\text{GOOD CHANGE}$ and $\text{GROUPCH2}=\text{GOOD CHANGE}$) <i>no weighting by age</i></p> | <p>'good'</p> |

Definitions (CSID01.SAS7BDAT and YCSID01.SAS7BDAT)

COGSCORE:	cognitive score for 2001 Screening
RELSCORE:	relative score for 2001 Screening
DFSCORE:	discriminate function score for 2001 Screening
DIFFCOG:	2001 cogscore – 1992 cogscore
DIFFREL:	2001 relscore – 1992 relscore
DIFFDF:	2001 dfscore – 1992 dfscore
DIFFCOGI:	2001 cogscore – 1994 cogscore
DIFFRELI:	2001 relscore – 1994 relscore
DIFFDFI:	2001 dfscore – 1994 dfscore
DIFFCOG2:	2001 cogscore – 1997 cogscore
DIFFREL2:	2001 relscore – 1997 relscore
DIFFDF2:	2001 dfscore – 1997 dfscore
PERFORM:	2001 Performance group – ‘poor’, ‘intermed’, ‘good’

GROUP: (absolute score from 2004 Screening)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
CASE	$-1 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 28.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.155$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.184$
BORDERLINE	$28.5 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 29.5$	$0.071 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.155$	$0.12 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.184$
NORMAL	$\text{COGSCORE} > 29.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.071$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.12$

GROUPCH: (change score // 2004 - 1992)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.2084$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOG} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.2084$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.2084$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.1349$

GROUPCHI: (change score // 2004 - 1994)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOGI} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDFI} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDFI} \geq 0.2107$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOGI} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDFI} < 0.2084$	$0.1621 \leq \text{DIFFDFI} < 0.2107$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOGI} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDFI} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDFI} < 0.1621$

GROUPCH2: (change score // 2004 – 1997)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG2} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDF2} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDF2} \geq 0.2107$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOG2} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF2} < 0.2084$	$0.1621 \leq \text{DIFFDF2} < 0.2107$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG2} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDF2} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDF2} < 0.1621$

GROUPCH3: (change score // 2004 – 2001)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG3} \leq -3.8768$	$\text{DIFFDF3} \geq 0.2284$	$\text{DIFFDF3} \geq 0.2284$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.8768 < \text{DIFFCOG3} \leq -2.75$	$0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF3} < 0.2284$	$0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF3} < 0.2284$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG3} > -2.75$	$\text{DIFFDF3} < 0.1634$	$\text{DIFFDF3} < 0.1634$

SAMPLE RULE:

- (1) If GROUP=CASE or GROUPCH=POOR CHANGE or GROUPCHI=POOR CHANGE or GROUPCH2=POOR CHANGE or GROUPCH3=POOR CHANGE then sample 100%

PERFORM
'poor'

of the remaining...

- (2) If GROUP=BORDER or GROUPCH=INTERMED CHANGE or GROUPCHI=INTERMED CHANGE or GROUPCH2=INTERMED CHANGE or GROUPCH3=INTERMED CHANGE then sample 75%

'intermed'

- (3) For the remainder sample 2%
(GROUP=NORMAL and GROUPCH=GOOD CHANGE and GROUPCHI=GOOD CHANGE and GROUPCH2=GOOD CHANGE and GROUPCH3=GOOD CHANGE) *no weighting by age*

'good'

Definitions (CSID2k4.SAS7BDAT and YCSID2k4.SAS7BDAT)

COGSCORE: cognitive score for 2004 Screening

RELSCORE: relative score for 2004 Screening

DFSCORE: discriminate function score for 2004 Screening

DIFFCOG: 2004 cogscore – 1992 cogscore

DIFFREL: 2004 relscore – 1992 relscore

DIFFDF: 2004 dfscore – 1992 dfscore

DIFFCOG1: 2004 cogscore – 1994 cogscore

DIFFRELI: 2004 relscore – 1994 relscore

DIFFDF1: 2004 dfscore – 1994 dfscore

DIFFCOG2: 2004 cogscore – 1997 cogscore

DIFFREL2: 2004 relscore – 1997 relscore

DIFFDF2: 2004 dfscore – 1997 dfscore

DIFFCOG3: 2004 cogscore – 2001 cogscore

DIFFREL3: 2004 relscore – 2001 relscore

DIFFDF3: 2004 dfscore – 2001 dfscore

PERFORM: 2004 Performance group – 'poor', 'intermed', 'good'

GROUP: (absolute score from 2007 Screening)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
CASE	$-1 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 28.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.155$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.184$
BORDERLINE	$28.5 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 29.5$	$0.071 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.155$	$0.12 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.184$
NORMAL	$\text{COGSCORE} > 29.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.071$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.12$

GROUPCH: (change score // 2007 - 1992)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.2084$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOG} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.2084$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.2084$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.1349$

GROUPCHI: (change score // 2007 - 1994)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOGI} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDFI} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDFI} \geq 0.2107$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOGI} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDFI} < 0.2084$	$0.1621 \leq \text{DIFFDFI} < 0.2107$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOGI} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDFI} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDFI} < 0.1621$

GROUPCH2: (change score // 2007 - 1997)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG2} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDF2} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDF2} \geq 0.2107$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOG2} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF2} < 0.2084$	$0.1621 \leq \text{DIFFDF2} < 0.2107$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG2} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDF2} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDF2} < 0.1621$

GROUPCH3: (change score // 2007 - 2001)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG3} \leq -3.8768$	$\text{DIFFDF3} \geq 0.2284$	$\text{DIFFDF3} \geq 0.2284$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.8768 < \text{DIFFCOG3} \leq -2.75$	$0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF3} < 0.2284$	$0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF3} < 0.2284$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG3} > -2.75$	$\text{DIFFDF3} < 0.1634$	$\text{DIFFDF3} < 0.1634$

GROUPCH4: (change score // 2007 – 2004)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	DIFFCOG3 <= -3.8768	DIFFDF3 >= 0.2284	DIFFDF3 >= 0.2284
INTERMED CHANGE	-3.8768 < DIFFCOG3 <= -2.75	0.1634 <= DIFFDF3 < 0.2284	0.1634 <= DIFFDF3 < 0.2284
GOOD CHANGE	DIFFCOG3 > -2.75	DIFFDF3 < 0.1634	DIFFDF3 < 0.1634

SAMPLE RULE:

- (1) If GROUP=CASE or GROUPCH=POOR CHANGE or GROUPCHI=POOR CHANGE or
GROUPCH2=POOR CHANGE or GROUPCH3=POOR CHANGE or GROUPCH4=POOR CHANGE
then sample 100%

PERFORM
'poor'

of the remaining...

- (2) If GROUP=BORDER or GROUPCH=INTERMED CHANGE or GROUPCHI=INTERMED CHANGE or
GROUPCH2=INTERMED CHANGE or GROUPCH3=INTERMED CHANGE or
GROUPCH4=INTERMED CHANGE then sample 75%

'intermed'

- (3) For the remainder sample 2%
(GROUP=NORMAL and GROUPCH=GOOD CHANGE and GROUPCHI=GOOD CHANGE and
GROUPCH2=GOOD CHANGE and GROUPCH3=GOOD CHANGE and
GROUPCH4=GOOD CHANGE) *no weighting by age*

'good'

Definitions (CSID2K7.SAS7BDAT and YCSID2K7.SAS7BDAT)

COGSCORE: cognitive score for 2007 Screening

RELSCORE: relative score for 2007 Screening

DFSCORE: discriminate function score for 2007 Screening

DIFFCOG: 2007 cogscore – 1992 cogscore

DIFFREL: 2007 relscore – 1992 relscore

DIFFDF: 2007 dfscore – 1992 dfscore

DIFFCOGI: 2007 cogscore – 1994 cogscore

DIFFRELI: 2007 relscore – 1994 relscore

DIFFDFI: 2007 dfscore – 1994 dfscore

DIFFCOG2: 2007 cogscore – 1997 cogscore

DIFFREL2: 2007 relscore – 1997 relscore

DIFFDF2: 2007 dfscore – 1997 dfscore

DIFFCOG3: 2007 cogscore – 2001 cogscore

DIFFREL3: 2007 relscore – 2001 relscore

DIFFDF3: 2007 dfscore – 2001 dfscore

DIFFCOG4: 2007 cogscore – 2004 cogscore

DIFFREL4: 2007 relscore – 2004 relscore

DIFFDF4: 2007 dfscore – 2004 dfscore

PERFORM: 2007 Performance group – ‘poor’, ‘intermed’, ‘good’

GROUP: (absolute score from 2009 Screening)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
CASE	$-1 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 28.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.155$	$\text{DFSCORE} \geq 0.184$
BORDERLINE	$28.5 < \text{COGSCORE} \leq 29.5$	$0.071 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.155$	$0.12 \leq \text{DFSCORE} < 0.184$
NORMAL	$\text{COGSCORE} > 29.5$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.071$	$\text{DFSCORE} < 0.12$

GROUPCH: (change score // 2009 - 1992)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDF} \geq 0.2084$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOG} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.2084$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF} < 0.2084$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDF} < 0.1349$

GROUPCHI: (change score // 2009 - 1994)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOGI} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDFI} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDFI} \geq 0.2084$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOGI} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDFI} < 0.2084$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDFI} < 0.2084$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOGI} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDFI} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDFI} < 0.1349$

GROUPCH2: (change score // 2009 – 1997)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG2} \leq -3.5072$	$\text{DIFFDF2} \geq 0.2084$	$\text{DIFFDF2} \geq 0.2084$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.5072 < \text{DIFFCOG2} \leq -2.4638$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF2} < 0.2084$	$0.1349 \leq \text{DIFFDF2} < 0.2084$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG2} > -2.4638$	$\text{DIFFDF2} < 0.1349$	$\text{DIFFDF2} < 0.1349$

GROUPCH3: (change score // 2009 – 2001)

	no informant	Indianapolis with informant	Ibadan with informant
POOR CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG3} \leq -3.8768$	$\text{DIFFDF3} \geq 0.2284$	$\text{DIFFDF3} \geq 0.2284$
INTERMED CHANGE	$-3.8768 < \text{DIFFCOG3} \leq -2.75$	$0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF3} < 0.2284$	$0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF3} < 0.2284$
GOOD CHANGE	$\text{DIFFCOG3} > -2.75$	$\text{DIFFDF3} < 0.1634$	$\text{DIFFDF3} < 0.1634$

GROUPCH4: (change score // 2009 – 2004)

no informant

Indianapolis
with informantIbadan
with informantPOOR CHANGE $\text{DIFFCOG3} \leq -3.8768$ $\text{DIFFDF3} \geq 0.2284$ $\text{DIFFDF3} \geq 0.2284$ INTERMED CHANGE $-3.8768 < \text{DIFFCOG3} \leq -2.75$ $0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF3} < 0.2284$ $0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF3} < 0.2284$ GOOD CHANGE $\text{DIFFCOG3} > -2.75$ $\text{DIFFDF3} < 0.1634$ $\text{DIFFDF3} < 0.1634$ **GROUPCH5:** (change score // 2009 – 2007)

no informant

Indianapolis
with informantIbadan
with informantPOOR CHANGE $\text{DIFFCOG3} \leq -3.8768$ $\text{DIFFDF3} \geq 0.2284$ $\text{DIFFDF3} \geq 0.2284$ INTERMED CHANGE $-3.8768 < \text{DIFFCOG3} \leq -2.75$ $0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF3} < 0.2284$ $0.1634 \leq \text{DIFFDF3} < 0.2284$ GOOD CHANGE $\text{DIFFCOG3} > -2.75$ $\text{DIFFDF3} < 0.1634$ $\text{DIFFDF3} < 0.1634$

SAMPLE RULE:

- (1) If $\text{GROUP}=\text{CASE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH}=\text{POOR CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCHI}=\text{POOR CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH2}=\text{POOR CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH3}=\text{POOR CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH4}=\text{POOR CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH5}=\text{POOR CHANGE}$ then sample 100%

PERFORM
'poor'

of the remaining...

- (2) If $\text{GROUP}=\text{BORDER}$ or $\text{GROUPCH}=\text{INTERMED CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCHI}=\text{INTERMED CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH2}=\text{INTERMED CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH3}=\text{INTERMED CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH4}=\text{INTERMED CHANGE}$ or $\text{GROUPCH5}=\text{INTERMED CHANGE}$ then sample 75%

'intermed'

- (3) For the remainder sample 2%

'good'

($\text{GROUP}=\text{NORMAL}$ and $\text{GROUPCH}=\text{GOOD CHANGE}$ and $\text{GROUPCHI}=\text{GOOD CHANGE}$ and $\text{GROUPCH2}=\text{GOOD CHANGE}$ and $\text{GROUPCH3}=\text{GOOD CHANGE}$ and $\text{GROUPCH4}=\text{GOOD CHANGE}$ and $\text{GROUPCH5}=\text{GOOD CHANGE}$) *no weighting by age*

Definitions (CSID2K9.SAS7BDAT and YCSID2K9.SAS7BDAT)**COGSCORE:** cognitive score for 2009 Screening**RELSCORE:** relative score for 2009 Screening**DFSCORE:** discriminate function score for 2009 Screening**DIFFCOG:** 2009 cogscore – 1992 cogscore**DIFFREL:** 2009 relscore – 1992 relscore**DIFFDF:** 2009 dfscore – 1992 dfscore**DIFFCOGI:** 2009 cogscore – 1994 cogscore**DIFFRELI:** 2009 relscore – 1994 relscore

DIFFDF1: 2009 dfscore – 1994 dfscore

DIFFCOG2: 2009 cogscore – 1997 cogscore

DIFFREL2: 2009 relscore – 1997 relscore

DIFFDF2: 2009 dfscore – 1997 dfscore

DIFFCOG3: 2009 cogscore – 2001 cogscore

DIFFREL3: 2009 relscore – 2001 relscore

DIFFDF3: 2009 dfscore – 2001 dfscore

DIFFCOG4: 2009 cogscore – 2004 cogscore

DIFFREL4: 2009 relscore – 2004 relscore

DIFFDF4: 2009 dfscore – 2004 dfscore

DIFFCOG5: 2009 cogscore – 2007 cogscore

DIFFREL5: 2009 relscore – 2007 relscore

DIFFDF5: 2009 dfscore – 2007 dfscore

PERFORM: 2009 Performance group – ‘poor’, ‘intermed’, ‘good’